Global Commons Survey 2024

Australia

G20+ Global Report: planetary stewardship

Commissioned by Earth4All and the Global Commons Alliance

Partners: The Policy Institute Kings College, ISWE, Wellbeing Economy Alliance




Planetary stewardship




How worried, if at all, are you about the following? (Extremely / very / somewhat worried).

Q4. How worried, if at all, are you about the following?

The state of nature today



The state in which we will leave nature for future generations



Base: 22,000 across 22 countries, 18 - 55-75, online interviews, 5th March – 8th April 2024. Argentina (1,000), Australia (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), China (1,000), Canada (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), Canada (1,000), Germany (1,000),



How worried, if at all, are you about the following? (Extremely / very / somewhat worried).

Q4. NET: Extremely/very/somewhat worried

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups sig

tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household income; 18-


	Total	Gender Househ				sehold income			Age			
	(A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)	
The state of nature today	81%	75%	87%	80%	81%	82%	84%	77%	83%	82%	82%	
	В		AB									
The state in which we will leave nature	82%	75%	89%	81%	82%	83%	82%	81%	86%	80%	81%	
for future generations	В		AB									



24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).

Because of human activities, the Earth is close to environmental 'tipping points' where climate or nature, such as rainforests or glaciers, may change suddenly or be more difficult to stabilise in the future.


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





New technologies can solve environmental problems without individuals having to make big changes in their lives.


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





Many of the claims about environmental threats are exaggerated.


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





Human health and wellbeing are closely connected to the health and wellbeing of nature.


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





Nature can meet the needs of humans right now.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?






Nature is already too damaged to continue meeting humans' needs in the long-term.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Statistically significant difference vs G20 average (NET: strongly/tend to agree)




Base: 22,000 across 22 countries, 18 - 55-75, online interviews, 5th March - 8th April 2024. Argentina (1,000), Australia (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), China (1,000), Italy (1,000), Japan (1,000), Kenya (1,000), Mexico (1,000), Saudi Arabia (1,000), South Korea (1,000), Sweden (1,000), United Kingdom (1,000), United States (1,000), United States (1,000), Weize (1,000), Weize (1,000), South Korea (1,000), Sweden (1,000), United Kingdom (1,000), United States (1,000), United S countries surveyed (Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, France, Germany, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Mexico, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, South Korea, Turkey, United Kingdom, United States).



© Ipsos | Earth4All and the Global Commons Alliance | Australia Deck | September 2024

Addressing climate change and environmental damage can bring many benefits to people in [COUNTRY].

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




Base: 22,000 across 22 countries, 18 - 55-75, online interviews, 5th March – 8th April 2024. Argentina (1,000), Australia (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), China (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), China (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), China (1,000), China (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), Germany (1,



[COUNTRY]'s government is doing enough to tackle climate change and environmental damage.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




Base: 21,000 across 21 countries, 18 - 55-75, online interviews, 5th March – 8th April 2024. Argentina (1,000), Australia (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), France (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), Indi



The costs of the damages due to environmental pollution are much higher than the costs of the investments needed for a green transition.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




Base: 22,000 across 22 countries, 18 - 55-75, online interviews, 5th March – 8th April 2024. Argentina (1,000), Australia (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), China (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), China (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), China (1,000), China (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), Germany (1,



It should be a criminal offence for leaders of large businesses or senior government officials to approve or permit actions they know are likely to cause damage to nature and climate that is widespread, long term or cannot be reversed.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5. NET: Strongly/tend to agree .

	Total	Ge	nder	Hou	sehold inc	ome			Age		
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Human health and wellbeing are closely connected to the health and	70%	66%	75%	68%	70%	76%	68%	70%	70%	73%	71%
wellbeing of nature	В		AB			Α					
It should be a criminal offence for leaders of large businesses or senior government officials to approve or permit actions they know are likely to	68%	65%	72%	68%	68%	70%	66%	63%	63%	77%	72%
cause damage to nature and climate that is widespread, long term or cannot be reversed	В		AB							AGHI	
Addressing climate change and environmental damage can bring many	67%	62%	71%	66%	65%	72%	72%	72%	62%	66%	64%
benefits to people in [COUNTRY]	В		AB			Α		1			
Because of human activities, the Earth is close to environmental 'tipping	64%	57%	70%	62%	64%	67%	63%	65%	63%	64%	64%
points' where climate or nature, such as rainforests or glaciers, may change suddenly or be more difficult to stabilise in the future	В		AB								
The costs of the damages due to environmental pollution are much higher than the costs of the investments needed for a green transition	57%	54%	59%	56%	56%	61%	54%	61%	57%	56%	53%


Base: Australia, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024. For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.



To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (Strongly / tend to agree).


Q5. NET: Strongly/tend to agree

	Tatal	Ge	nder	Hou	sehold inc	come			Age		
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Nature is already too damaged to continue meeting humans' needs in the	45%	40%	49%	45%	43%	49%	44%	51%	45%	46%	38%
long-term			AB					K			
Nature can meet the needs of humans right now	42%	46%	39%	44%	40%	45%	37%	48%	42%	43%	39%
New technologies can solve environmental problems without individuals	36%	41%	30%	32%	38%	40%	49%	45%	38%	30%	19%
having to make big changes in their lives		AC					AIJK	AJK	K	K	
	27%	34%	21%	28%	27%	30%	23%	33%	29%	25%	24%
Many of the claims about environmental threats are exaggerated	С	AC						AGJK			
The [COUNTY]'S government is doing enough to tackle climate change and	27%	34%	21%	27%	24%	33%	19%	33%	30%	26%	22%
environmental damage		AC				AE		AGK	G		





Thinking about climate change and protecting nature, how quickly do you think the world needs to take major action to reduce carbon emissions from electricity, transport, food, industry, and buildings?





Thinking about climate change and protecting nature, how quickly do you think the world needs to take major action to reduce carbon emissions from electricity, transport, food, industry, and buildings?

> Q6. Thinking about climate change and protecting nature, how guickly do you think the world needs to take major action to reduce carbon emissions from electricity, transport, food, industry, and buildings?

	Total	Ge	Gender		ısehold inco	me	Age				
	(A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Act immediately, within the next decade		61%	74% AB	62%	72% D	69%	69%	67%	67%	68%	69%
Act within the next 20 to 30 years	13% K	15%	11%	15% E	10%	16% AE	15% K	18% AJK	16% K	10%	7%
Act, but we have more time than 30 years	5% C	7% AC	3%	5%	5%	5%	7%	4%	3%	5%	8% I
Never – no action required	6% C	9% AC	4%	6%	6%	5%	5%	4%	4%	8%	9%
Don't know	8% F	8%	7%	11% AF	6%	4%	4%	7%	10% G	9%	9%

Base: Australia, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024. For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.



In your opinion, which of the following should be held most responsible for reversing damage to environment (e.g. climate, water and air pollution, forests)? Please select up to three.

Q7. In your opinion, which of the following should be held most responsible for reversing damage to environment (e.g. climate, water and air pollution, forests)? Please select up to three.

	Total	Ge	nder	Но	usehold inco	me	Age					
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)	
National governments of wealthy countries	49%	49%	49%	44%	49%	55% AD	54%	47%	46%	48%	52%	
Business and industry	48% HI	46%	50%	45%	47%	52%	49%	41%	40%	56% AHI	57% AHI	
International organisations (e.g. the UN or the World Bank)	35%	34%	36%	33%	32%	39%	42% K	40% AJK	33%	31%	30%	
National governments of developing countries	31% C	34% AC	28%	33%	30%	33%	28%	28%	33%	35% C	28%	
The global super rich	28% B	25%	31% AB	28%	30%	24%	36% AHIJ	26%	25%	26%	29%	
Environmental groups	16% JK	14%	18% JK	14%	18%	18%	17%	21% AJK	21% AJK	10%	11%	
High-income and middle-income earners in [COUNTRY]		15% AC	8%	15% AE	9%	11%	12%	14% AK	14% K	11% K	3%	
Not applicable – no action required	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	6% ACH	
Don't know	11% FG	9%	13% AB	13% F	11%	7%	4%	10% G	14% G	11% G	13% G	

Base: Australia, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024. For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).




Here is a list of qualities that children can be encouraged to learn at home. Which, if any, do you consider to be especially important?

Q1. Here is a list of qualities that children can be encouraged to learn at home. Which, if any, do you consider to be especially important?

	Total	Gender Total		Но	usehold inco	me	Age				
	(A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Good manners	68% Bl	62%	74% AB	69%	66%	70%	66%	70%	62%	68%	72%
Tolerance and respect for other people	58% B	54%	62% AB	57%	54%	61%	53%	54%	56%	60%	65% AGH
Respect for animals, nature, and the environment	52% B	44%	59% AB	55%	49%	53%	46%	53%	48%	50%	58% AGI
Independence	43% B	37%	47% AB	44%	42%	42%	50% K	43%	41%	41%	40%
Feeling of responsibility	42% D	45%	40%	34%	43% D	47% AD	35%	46% G	45%	42%	40%
Hard work	35% C	39% AC	31%	32%	33%	43% ADE	50% AHIJK	31%	37%	35%	30%
Determination, perseverance	34% D	36%	33%	26%	37% D	39% D	44% AIK	33%	33%	36%	29%
Not being selfish (unselfishness)	33% G	36%	31%	36%	34%	29%	24%	34%	30%	35% G	41% AGI
Imagination	31%	30%	32%	33%	35%	29%	30%	31%	31%	33%	30%
Thrift, saving money and things	27% G	26%	29%	25%	30%	28%	18%	24%	28% G	32% G	31% G
Obedience	19%	20%	19%	21%	19%	17%	26% AlJ	18%	15%	17%	24%
Religious faith	14% J	16% AC	12%	15%	13%	13%	18% J	14%	17% J	10%	11%
None of these	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%
Don't know	1%	1%	1%	2% AEF	-	-	1%	-	2%	1%	1%

Base: Australia, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024. For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.





Values and demographics





To what extent are you optimistic or pessimistic about each of the following... (Very / somewhat optimistic).

Q2. To what extent are you optimistic or pessimistic about each of the following...

	Total	Ger	nder	Hou	sehold inco	ome			Age		
	(A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Your future	60%	61%	60%	48%	65%	73%	64%	67%	60%	61%	50%
rour future	DK				D	ADE	K	AK	K	K	
	44%	47%	42%	37%	48%	51%	40%	48%	48%	45%	38%
The future of your country	DK				D	AD		K	K		
The future of the world	33%	35%	31%	30%	34%	38%	31%	42%	36%	32%	21%
	K		KMN			AD	К	AGJK	K	K	K



Please now give us your views on the following issues. We will show you two statements – please tell us which of these statements is closer to your beliefs.

Q3. 1 means you agree completely with the statement on the left; 7 means you agree completely with the statement on the right; and if your views fall somewhere in between, you can choose any number in between.

■ Net: 1-2 ■ Net: 3-5 ■ Net: 6-7



Base: Australia, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024.



How satisfied are you with the financial situation of your household? How exposed, if at all, are you personally to environmental and climate related risks, and threats?

QD4. How satisfied are you with the financial situation of your household?


QD5. How exposed, if at all, are you personally to environmental and climate related risks, and threats?

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups sig

tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household income; 18-

			Ge	nder	Hou	sehold inco	me			Age		
		Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
		44%	49%	39%	27%	44%	61%	51%	43%	45%	40%	42%
How satisfied are you	NET: Very/fairly satisfied	CD	AC			D	ADE	J				
with the financial situation of your		32%	24%	40%	48%	33%	19%	22%	31%	34%	35%	35%
household?	NET: Very/fairly dissatisfied	BFG		AB	AEF	F				G	G	G
	NET: Very/somewhat	54%	55%	52%	50%	56%	54%	56%	51%	48%	55%	61%
How exposed, if at all, are you personally to environmental and climate related risks,	exposed											AHI
	NET: Not very/not at all	42%	40%	43%	44%	40%	43%	39%	44%	47%	42%	35%
and threats?	exposed	K								K		

24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).





Methodology





Objectives and methodology.


- Commissioned by Earth4All and the Global Commons Alliance, in partnership with The Policy Institute Kings College, Wellbeing Alliance, ISWE, and Wellbeing Economy Alliance, Ipsos conducted research to understand attitudes to societal transformations, political / economic systems and planetary stewardship. Questions focused on: values and worldviews, attitudes towards nature and planetary stewardship, attitudes towards national and global political and economic systems, levels of support for Earth4All policy recommendations. This report focuses on attitudes towards national and global political and economic systems, and levels of support for Earth4All policy proposals.
- Ipsos surveyed 22,000 participants aged 18 to 55-75 in total across 22 countries. This included 18 G20 countries (Argentina (1,000), Australia (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), Indonesia (1,000), Italy (1,000), Japan (1,000), Mexico (1,000), Saudi Arabia (1,000), South Africa (1,000), South Korea (1,000), Turkey (1,000), United Kingdom (1,000), United States (1,000)), plus four counties outside the G20 (Austria (1,000), Denmark (1,000), Kenya (1,000) and Sweden (1,000)). Not all questions were asked in China. All interviews were conducted online and took place between 5th March and 8th April 2024.
- Quota sampling was used, and in each country data are weighted to be representative of the national population on age, gender, region and working status. Where results do not sum to 100, this may be due to rounding, multiple responses, or the exclusion of 'don't know' categories.
- This report presents a 'G20 country average' and compares individual countries against this. Overall 'G20 country average' percentage is an arithmetic average of national results across the 18 G20 countries surveyed (or 17 G20 countries in the case of questions that were not asked in China), where the results from each country have the same weight and are not pro-rata to the true population proportions for this audience.
- This report presents the findings from Australia, comparing responses with the other nations surveyed. This study also compares responses from different demographic groups in Australia. In Australia, 1,000 participants aged 18-65 were interviewed in English between 5th March and 8th April 2024.





Methodology: Study Coverage.


22 countries were included in the study, a total of **22,000 participants** from age 18 to 55-75.

Some questions that were asked were also run in the Global Commons Survey in 2021. Where this is the case, trend data has been shown for those countries included in both the 2021 and 2024 studies.











Sample overview by country.

	Country	Abbreviation	Sample Size	Ages covered	Language
<u> </u>	United Kingdom	UK	1000	18-75	English
	Italy	IT	1000	18-65	Italian
G	Turkey	TR	1000	18-60	Turkish
	United States	us	1000	18-75	English
•	Argentina	AR	1000	18-55	Spanish
	Australia	AU	1000	18-65	English
	Brazil	BR	1000	18-55	Portuguese
	China	CN	1000	18-55	Chinese (Simplified)
	India	IN	1000	18-65	English
	Indonesia	ID	1000	21-65	Bahasa Indonesia
	Japan	JP	1000	18-65	Japanese
()	South Korea	SK	1000	18-55	Korean
	Mexico	MX	1000	18-55	Spanish
227.4	Saudi Arabia	SA	1000	18-65	Arabic
	South Africa	ZA	1000	18-65	English
(*)	Canada	CA	1000	18-65	English, French
	France	FR	1000	18-65	French
	Germany	DE	1000	18-65	German
	Austria	AT	1000	18-75	German
	Denmark	DK	1000	18-75	Danish
	Kenya	KE	1000	18-65	Swahili
	Sweden	sw	1000	18-65	Swedish



Methodology: demographics/subgroups bases.

	Unweighted 1000 / Weighted 1000
C	Gender Gender
Male	476 / 487
Male	49% / 49%
Female	511 / 500
	50% / 50%
Emplo:	yment Status
Working	687 / 691
VVOIKITIG	69% / 69%
Not working	313 / 309
· ·	31% / 31%
Ec	ducation
Secondary	440 / 438
Secondary	44% / 44%
Degree or above	557 / 559
	56% / 56%
Household Incom	ne (per annum, pre-tax)
Low (<\$50,000)	310 / 308
LOW (<\$50,000)	31% / 31%
Middle (\$50,000-\$94,999)	317 / 318
Wildale (ψ50,000-ψ54,959)	32% / 32%
High (\$95,000+)	296 / 297
- , , ,	30% / 30%
Childrer	n in Household
Yes	351 / 352
1 63	35% / 35%
No	644 / 643
INO	64% / 64%

	Unweighted 1000 / Weighted 1000							
	Age							
40.04	137 / 136							
18-24	14% / 14%							
25-34	223 / 229							
25-34	22% / 23%							
35-44	222 / 221							
33-44	22% / 23%							
45-54	209 / 206							
40-04	21% / 21%							
55-65	209 / 208							
	21% / 21%							
R	legion							
Australian Capital Territory	19/ 19							
- Additional Copies Torritory	2% / 2%							
New South Wales	318 / 316							
	32% / 32%							
Northern Territory	10 / 10							
	1% / 1%							
Queensland	202 / 201							
	20% / 20%							
South Australia	72 / 69							
	7% / 7%							
Tasmania	21 / 21							
- Corridina	2% / 2%							
Victoria	260 / 259							
	26% / 26%							
Western Australia	98 / 105							
	10 / 11% Ipsos							

Ipsos Standards & Accreditations

Ipsos's standards & accreditations provide our clients with the peace of mind that they can always depend on us to deliver reliable, sustainable findings. Moreover, our focus on quality and continuous improvement means we have embedded a 'right first time' approach throughout our organisation.



ISO 20252 – is the international specific standard for market, opinion and social research, including insights and data analytics. Ipsos in the UK was the first company in the world to gain this accreditation.



The UK General Data Protection Regulation (UK GDPR) & the UK Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA) – Ipsos UK is required to comply with the UK General Data Protection Regulation and the UK Data Protection Act; it covers the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy.



MRS Company Partnership – By being an MRS Company Partner, Ipsos UK endorse and support the core MRS brand values of professionalism, research excellence and business effectiveness, and commit to comply with the MRS Code of Conduct throughout the organisation & we were the first company to sign our organisation up to the requirements & self-regulation of the MRS Code; more than 350 companies have followed our lead.



HMG Cyber Essentials – A government backed and key deliverable of the UK's National Cyber Security Programme. Ipsos UK was assessment validated for certification in 2016. Cyber Essentials defines a set of controls which, when properly implemented, provide organisations with basic protection from the most prevalent forms of threat coming from the internet.



ISO 9001 – International general company standard with a focus on continual improvement through quality management systems. In 1994 we became one of the early adopters of the ISO 9001 business standard.



Fair Data – Ipsos UK is signed up as a 'Fair Data' Company by agreeing to adhere to twelve core principles. The principles support and complement other standards such as ISOs, and the requirements of Data Protection legislation.



ISO 27001 – International standard for information security designed to ensure the selection of adequate and proportionate security controls. Ipsos UK was the first research company in the UK to be awarded this in August 2008.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos UK Terms and Conditions.



Appendix: Trending vs Global Commons research



How worried, if at all, are you about the following? (Extremely / very worried).

Q4. How worried, if at all, are you about the following?

	The state of n	ature today		The state in which we will generati		
	2021	2023		2021	2023	
G20*	58%	59%		61%	62%	
Argentina	68%	65%		68%	71%	
Australia	46%	46%		51%	53%	
Brazil	74%	78%		77%	80%	
Canada	52%	52%		55%	56%	
China	46%	53%		47%	57%	
France	57%	66%		58%	69%	
United Kingdom	46%	53%		47%	56%	
Germany	57%	56%		59%	60%	
India	70%	69%		70%	63%	
Indonesia	68%	67%		70%	68%	
Italy	69%	53%	V	72%	58%	
Japan	44%	48%		47%	52%	
Saudi Arabia	26%	30%		31%	28%	
South Korea	64%	56%	_	66%	64%	
Mexico	77%	77%		81%	81%	
South Africa	66%	74%		69%	76%	
Turkey	79%	72%		83%	77%	
United States	45%	43%		49%	48%	
Sweden	40%	39%		45%	46%	





Because of human activities, the Earth is close to environmental 'tipping points' where climate or nature, such as rainforests or glaciers, may change suddenly or be more difficult to stabilise in the future.

(Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	2021	2023	
G20*	73%	69%	_
Argentina	77%	76%	
Australia	66%	64%	
Brazil	83%	78%	
Canada	66%	64%	
China	74%	70%	
France	72%	64%	
United Kingdom	65%	67%	
Germany	67%	66%	
India	77%	73%	•
Indonesia	86%	85%	
Italy	79%	62%	
Japan	63%	54%	
Saudi Arabia	72%	56%	
South Korea	73%	66%	_
Mexico	78%	82%	
South Africa	76%	77%	
Turkey	85%	71%	
United States	60%	61%	
Sweden	63%	60%	



Nature can meet the needs of humans right now. (Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	2021	2023	
G20*	50%	49%	
Argentina	64%	64%	
Australia	42%	42%	
Brazil	57%	62%	
Canada	47%	43%	
China	47%	50%	
France	37%	39%	
United Kingdom	35%	39%	
Germany	38%	39%	
India	61%	67%	
Indonesia	76%	74%	
Italy	40%	26%	V
Japan	33%	27%	
Saudi Arabia	65%	57%	
South Korea	35%	31%	
Mexico	55%	60%	
South Africa	56%	61%	
Turkey	62%	58%	
United States	53%	47%	
Sweden	41%	43%	





Nature is already too damaged to continue meeting humans' needs in the long-term. (Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Statistically significant difference vs Global Commons research 2021. Please note that due to survey differences, these trends should be read with caution.

	2021	2023	
G20*	59%	59%	
Argentina	66%	68%	
Australia	43%	45%	
Brazil	75%	72%	
Canada	35%	42%	
China	57%	59%	
France	59%	57%	
United Kingdom	42%	46%	
Germany	55%	55%	
India	75%	77%	
Indonesia	64%	72%	
Italy	60%	52%	
Japan	72%	65%	
Saudi Arabia	66%	52%	
South Korea	62%	53%	
Mexico	75%	78%	
South Africa	47%	54%	
Turkey	81%	76%	
United States	34%	44%	
Sweden	31%	36%	

