Global Commons Survey 2024

Germany

G20+ Global Report: planetary stewardship

Commissioned by Earth4All and the Global Commons Alliance

Partners: The Policy Institute Kings College, ISWE, Wellbeing Economy Alliance




Planetary stewardship




How worried, if at all, are you about the following? (Extremely / very / somewhat worried).

Q4. How worried, if at all, are you about the following?

The state of nature today



The state in which we will leave nature for future generations






How worried, if at all, are you about the following? (Extremely / very / somewhat worried).

Q4. How worried, if at all, are you about the following?

	T -4-1	Ge	nder	Hou	sehold inco	ome	Age				
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
The state of nature today	88% B	86%	91% AB	88%	89%	88%	91%	87%	90%	86%	90%
The state in which we will leave nature for future generations	87%	85%	89%	86%	88%	86%	92% HJ	84%	88%	85%	90%






© Ipsos | Earth4All and the Global Commons Alliance | Germany Deck | September 2024

Because of human activities, the Earth is close to environmental 'tipping points' where climate or nature, such as rainforests or glaciers, may change suddenly or be more difficult to stabilise in the future.


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





New technologies can solve environmental problems without individuals having to make big changes in their lives.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




Base: 22,000 across 22 countries, 18 - 55-75, online interviews, 5th March – 8th April 2024. Argentina (1,000), Austria (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), China (1,000), China (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), South Africa (1,000), South Africa (1,000), South Korea (1,000), United Kingdom (1,000), United States (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), South Africa (1,000), South Africa (1,000), South Korea (1,000), United Kingdom (1,000), United States).



Many of the claims about environmental threats are exaggerated.


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





Human health and wellbeing are closely connected to the health and wellbeing of nature.


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





Nature can meet the needs of humans right now.


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





Nature is already too damaged to continue meeting humans' needs in the long-term.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




Base: 22,000 across 22 countries, 18 - 55-75, online interviews, 5th March – 8th April 2024. Argentina (1,000), Austria (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), China (1,000), China (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), South Africa (1,000), South Africa (1,000), South Korea (1,000), United Kingdom (1,000), United States (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), South Africa (1,000), South Africa (1,000), South Korea (1,000), United Kingdom (1,000), United States).



Addressing climate change and environmental damage can bring many benefits to people in [COUNTRY].

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




Base: 22,000 across 22 countries, 18 - 55-75, online interviews, 5th March – 8th April 2024. Argentina (1,000), Australia (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), China (1,000), China (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), China (1,000), Germany (1,000), Ge



[COUNTRY]'s government is doing enough to tackle climate change and environmental damage.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




Base: 21,000 across 21 countries, 18 - 55-75, online interviews, 5th March – 8th April 2024. Argentina (1,000), Australia (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), France (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), Indi



The costs of the damages due to environmental pollution are much higher than the costs of the investments needed for a green transition.


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





It should be a criminal offence for leaders of large businesses or senior government officials to approve or permit actions they know are likely to cause damage to nature and climate that is widespread, long term or cannot be reversed.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5.

		Ge	nder	Hou	sehold ind	come			Age		
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Human health and wellbeing are closely connected to the health and wellbeing of nature		72%	78%	74%	77%	75%	59%	72%	72%	76%	84%
	G		AB					G	G	G	AGHIJ
It should be a criminal offence for leaders of large businesses or senior government officials to approve or permit actions they know are likely to		69%	68%	70%	69%	67%	62%	62%	67%	67%	78%
cause damage to nature and climate that is widespread, long term or cannot be reversed	Н										AGHIJ
Because of human activities, the Earth is close to environmental 'tipping points' where climate or nature, such as rainforests or glaciers, may change suddenly or be more difficult to stabilise in the future	66%	66%	67%	66%	67%	69%	62%	62%	65%	66%	73% AGH
The costs of the damages due to environmental pollution are much higher than the costs of the investments needed for a green transition	56%	59%	53%	54%	58%	58%	48%	56%	58%	52%	60% G
Nature is already too damaged to continue meeting humans' needs in the long-term	55%	51%	58%	56%	54%	55%	53%	56%	56%	50%	58%
	В		AB								

Base: Germany, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online, 5th March – 8th April 2024. For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.



To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (Strongly / tend to agree).


Q5.

		Ge	nder	Hou	sehold inc	come			Age		
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Addressing climate change and environmental damage can bring many benefits to people in Germany		55%	53%	51%	55%	57%	56%	56%	55%	48%	55%
New technologies can solve environmental problems without individuals having to make big changes in their lives		49% AC	34%	38%	42%	49% AD	32%	49% AGK	50% AGK	41%	35%
Nature can meet the needs of humans right now	39%	44% AC	34%	36%	41%	44% AD	31%	45% AGK	44% GK	37%	34%
Germany's government is doing enough to tackle climate change and environmental damage	32%	38% AC	27%	32%	29%	37% AE	22%	34% G	37% G	32%	31%
Many of the claims about environmental threats are exaggerated	28%	34% AC	24%	30%	27%	32%	15%	34% AGK	37% AGK	30% GK	22%

Base: Germany, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online, 5th March – 8th April 2024. For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.



Thinking about climate change and protecting nature, how quickly do you think the world needs to take major action to reduce carbon emissions from electricity, transport, food, industry, and buildings?





Thinking about climate change and protecting nature, how quickly do you think the world needs to take major action to reduce carbon emissions from electricity, transport, food, industry, and buildings?

Q6.

	Total	Ge	nder	Но	usehold inco	me			Age		
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Act immediately, within the next decade	66%	62%	70%	64%	64%	69%	70%	67%	61%	63%	70%
	В		AB								
Act within the next 20 to 30 years	17%	20%	15%	18%	19%	18%	21%	20%	20%	16%	13%
	K							K	K		
Act, but we have more time than 30		7%	6%	8%	6%	6%	7%	9%	8%	6%	4%
years	K							K	K		
Never – no action required	6%	7%	5%	6%	6%	5%	1%	3%	7%	9%	6%
	G								GH	AGH	G
Don't know	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	2%	2%	1%	3%	6%	8%
	FH									Н	AGHI

Base: Germany, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online, 5th March – 8th April 2024. For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.



In your opinion, which of the following should be held most responsible for reversing damage to environment (e.g. climate, water and air pollution, forests)? Please select up to three.

Q7.

	Total	Ge	nder	Но	ousehold inco	me	Age				
	(A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-64 (K)
Business and industry		63%	62%	63%	60%	64%	60%	52%	64%	62%	70%
	H	400/	400/	400/	100/	100/	222/	4.407	H	Н	AH
National governments of wealthy countries	43%	43%	43%	42%	43%	48% A	39%	44%	41%	38%	49% AJ
The global super rich	35%	36%	33%	39% A	34%	33%	34%	33%	33%	39%	34%
International (e.g. the UN or the World Bank)	28% DJ	27%	30%	24%	29%	33% AD	36% AJK	36% AJK	30% J	21%	23%
National governments of developing countries	25%	25%	25%	21%	26%	26%	26%	31% AJK	27%	19%	22%
High-income and middle-income earners in Germany	12%	13%	11%	11%	12%	15% A	14%	19% AIJK	8%	11%	10%
Environmental groups	11% JK	12%	10%	9%	11%	16% AD	14% J	20% AJK	15% JK	4%	8%
Not applicable – no action required	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	1%	1%	3%	5% GH	4%
Don't know	8% FH	7%	9%	9% F	7%	5%	9%	5%	8%	13% AH	7%

Base: Germany, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online, 5th March – 8th April 2024. For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-64).



Here is a list of qualities that children can be encouraged to learn at home. Which, if any, do you consider to be especially important?

Q1.

	Total	Ge	nder	Но	usehold inco	me			Age		
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Tolerance and respect for other people	69% BH	65%	74% AB	71%	70%	66%	71% H	60%	67%	73% H	75% AH
Respect for animals, nature, and the environment	65% BH	60%	70% AB	69%	63%	62%	65%	58%	66%	64%	70% AH
Feeling of responsibility	64% DH	63%	65%	58%	65%	70% AD	58%	56%	60%	72% AGHI	70% AGHI
Good manners	58% GHI	57%	59%	57%	56%	61%	43%	50%	49%	65% AGHI	70% AGHI
Determination, perseverance	33% DK	32%	35%	26%	33%	42% ADE	26%	39% AGK	38% GK	36% K	26%
Thrift, saving money and things)	33%	35%	31%	33%	38% AF	30%	29%	35%	30%	34%	35%
Independence	30% K	29%	31%	27%	29%	34% AD	39% AJK	30%	36% AK	28%	23%
Not being selfish (unselfishness)	28% CF	31% AC	25%	29% F	32% F	22%	32%	25%	29%	26%	28%
Hard work	26% C	30% AC	21%	23%	27%	30% AD	19%	28%	28%	23%	27%
Imagination	22% J	23%	22%	24%	23%	19%	22%	26% J	27% J	15%	21%
Obedience	15% C	17% C	12%	18%	14%	12%	15%	18%	13%	14%	13%
Religious faith	8%	8%	8%	8%	9%	6%	15% AIJK	9%	6%	6%	6%
None of these	1%	1%	-	1%	-		1%		1%	1%	-
Don't know	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%		1%	1%

Base: Germany, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online, 5th March – 8th April 2024. For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).



Values and demographics





To what extent are you optimistic or pessimistic about each of the following... (Very / somewhat optimistic).

Q2.

	Tatal	Ge	nder	Hou	sehold inco	ome	Age					
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)	
Your future	54%	57%	51%	41%	55%	70%	61%	64%	59%	49%	42%	
	DK	Α			D	ADE	JK	AJK	JK			
The future of your country		35%	25%	24%	26%	44%	21%	47%	35%	23%	23%	
	CDGJK	AC				ADE		AGIJK	GJK			
The future of the world	22%	28%	17%	17%	22%	31%	11%	37%	29%	18%	15%	
	CDGK	AC				ADE		AGJK	AGJK			


Base: Germany, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online, 5th March – 8th April 2024. For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.



Please now give us your views on the following issues. We will show you two statements – please tell us which of these statements is closer to your beliefs.

Q3. 1 means you agree completely with the statement on the left; 7 means you agree completely with the statement on the right; and if your views fall somewhere in between, you can choose any number in between.

■ Net: 1-2 ■ Net: 3-5 ■ Net: 6-7





How satisfied are you with the financial situation of your household? How exposed, if at all, are you personally to environmental and climate related risks, and threats?

QD4, QD5.

income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups

sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household

			Ger	nder	Hou	sehold inco	me			Age		
		Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
	NET: Very/fairly satisfied	57%	60%	54%	34%	59%	86%	53%	72%	55%	54%	51%
How satisfied are you with the financial		DK				D	ADE		AGIJK			
situation of your household?	NET: Very/fairly dissatisfied	20%	20%	20%	33%	18%	4%	9%	11%	21%	23%	26%
		FGH			AEF	F				GH	GH	AGH
How exposed, if at all, are you personally to environmental and climate related risks, and threats?	NET: Very/somewhat exposed	33%	33%	33%	29%	32%	42%	37%	46%	36%	24%	27%
		DJK					ADE	JK	AJK	JK		
	NET: Not very/not at all exposed	63%	64%	62%	66%	67%	56%	56%	52%	61%	72%	68%
		FH			F	F					AGHI	AGH



Methodology





Objectives and methodology.


- Commissioned by Earth4All and the Global Commons Alliance, in partnership with The Policy Institute Kings College, Wellbeing Alliance, ISWE, and Wellbeing Economy Alliance, Ipsos conducted research to understand attitudes to societal transformations, political / economic systems and planetary stewardship. Questions focused on: values and worldviews, attitudes towards nature and planetary stewardship, attitudes towards national and global political and economic systems, levels of support for Earth4All policy recommendations. This report focuses on attitudes towards national and global political and economic systems, and levels of support for Earth4All policy proposals.
- Ipsos surveyed 22,000 participants aged 18 to 55-75 in total across 22 countries. This included 18 G20 countries (Argentina (1,000), Australia (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), Indonesia (1,000), Italy (1,000), Japan (1,000), Mexico (1,000), Saudi Arabia (1,000), South Africa (1,000), South Korea (1,000), Turkey (1,000), United Kingdom (1,000), United States (1,000)), plus four counties outside the G20 (Austria (1,000), Denmark (1,000), Kenya (1,000) and Sweden (1,000)). Not all questions were asked in China. All interviews were conducted online and took place between 5th March and 8th April 2024.
- Quota sampling was used, and in each country data are weighted to be representative of the national population on age, gender, region and working status. Where results do not sum to 100, this may be due to rounding, multiple responses, or the exclusion of 'don't know' categories.
- This report presents a 'G20 country average' and compares individual countries against this. Overall 'G20 country average' percentage is an arithmetic average of national results across the 18 G20 countries surveyed (or 17 G20 countries in the case of questions that were not asked in China), where the results from each country have the same weight and are not pro-rata to the true population proportions for this audience.
- This report presents the findings from **Germany**, comparing responses with the other nations surveyed. This study also compares responses from different demographic groups in Germany, 1,000 participants aged 18-65 were interviewed in German between 5th March and 8th April 2024.





Methodology: Study Coverage.


22 countries were included in the study, a total of **22,000 participants** from age 18 to 55-75.

Some questions that were asked were also run in the Global Commons Survey in 2021. Where this is the case, trend data has been shown for those countries included in both the 2021 and 2024 studies.











Sample overview by country.

	Country	Abbreviation	Sample Size	Ages covered	Language
<u> </u>	United Kingdom	UK	1000	18-75	English
	Italy	IT	1000	18-65	Italian
G	Turkey	TR	1000	18-60	Turkish
	United States	us	1000	18-75	English
•	Argentina	AR	1000	18-55	Spanish
	Australia	AU	1000	18-65	English
	Brazil	BR	1000	18-55	Portuguese
	China	CN	1000	18-55	Chinese (Simplified)
	India	IN	1000	18-65	English
	Indonesia	ID	1000	21-65	Bahasa Indonesia
	Japan	JP	1000	18-65	Japanese
()	South Korea	sĸ	1000	18-55	Korean
	Mexico	MX	1000	18-55	Spanish
227.4	Saudi Arabia	SA	1000	18-65	Arabic
	South Africa	ZA	1000	18-65	English
(*)	Canada	CA	1000	18-65	English, French
	France	FR	1000	18-65	French
	Germany	DE	1000	18-65	German
	Austria	AT	1000	18-75	German
	Denmark	DK	1000	18-75	Danish
	Kenya	KE	1000	18-65	Swahili
	Sweden	sw	1000	18-65	Swedish



Methodology: demographics/subgroups bases.

	Unweighted 1000 / Weighted 1000					
G	ender					
Male	507 / 500					
Male	51% / 50%					
Female	480 / 487					
remale	48% / 49%					
Employ	ment Status					
Working	771 / 771					
VVOIKING	77% / 77%					
Not working	229 / 229					
	23% / 23%					
Ed	ucation					
Secondary	616 / 616					
Secondary	62% / 62%					
Degree or above	377 / 377					
-	38% / 38%					
Household Income	e (per annum, pre-tax)					
Low (<\$50,000)	354 / 354					
Εον (<φου,ουυ)	35% / 35%					
Middle (\$50,000-\$94,999)	266 / 266					
νιιααίο (φου,σου φο+,σου)	27% / 27%					
High (\$95,000+)	300 / 300					
• , , ,	30% / 30%					
Children	in Household					
Yes	323 / 323					
100	32% / 32%					
No	666 / 666					
110	67% / 67%					

	Unweighted 1000 / Weighted 1000						
	Age						
18-24	117 / 117						
10-24	12% / 12%						
25.34	201 / 201						
25-34	20% / 20%						
35-44	201 / 201						
35-44	20% / 20%						
45.54	214 / 213						
45-54	21% / 21%						
FF 0F	267 / 267						
55-65	27% / 27%						
	Region						
Nieleen	162 / 162						
Nielsen I	16% / 16%						
Nieleen II	216 / 216						
Nielsen II	22% / 22%						
Niclean IIIe	137 / 137						
Nielsen IIIa	14% / 14%						
Ni alaan IIIIa	135 / 135						
Nielsen IIIb	14% / 14%						
Nielsen IV	161 / 161						
Nielsen IV	16% / 16%						
Nioloop\/(a.th)	46 / 46						
NielsenV(a+b)	5% / 5%						
Nielsen VI	73 / 72						
ivieiseii vi	7% / 7%						
Nielsen VII	70 / 70						
inieisen vii	7% / 7%						

Ipsos Standards & Accreditations

Ipsos's standards & accreditations provide our clients with the peace of mind that they can always depend on us to deliver reliable, sustainable findings. Moreover, our focus on quality and continuous improvement means we have embedded a 'right first time' approach throughout our organisation.



ISO 20252 – is the international specific standard for market, opinion and social research, including insights and data analytics. Ipsos in the UK was the first company in the world to gain this accreditation.



The UK General Data Protection Regulation (UK GDPR) & the UK Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA) – Ipsos UK is required to comply with the UK General Data Protection Regulation and the UK Data Protection Act; it covers the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy.



MRS Company Partnership – By being an MRS Company Partner, Ipsos UK endorse and support the core MRS brand values of professionalism, research excellence and business effectiveness, and commit to comply with the MRS Code of Conduct throughout the organisation & we were the first company to sign our organisation up to the requirements & self-regulation of the MRS Code; more than 350 companies have followed our lead.



HMG Cyber Essentials – A government backed and key deliverable of the UK's National Cyber Security Programme. Ipsos UK was assessment validated for certification in 2016. Cyber Essentials defines a set of controls which, when properly implemented, provide organisations with basic protection from the most prevalent forms of threat coming from the internet.



ISO 9001 – International general company standard with a focus on continual improvement through quality management systems. In 1994 we became one of the early adopters of the ISO 9001 business standard.



Fair Data – Ipsos UK is signed up as a 'Fair Data' Company by agreeing to adhere to twelve core principles. The principles support and complement other standards such as ISOs, and the requirements of Data Protection legislation.



ISO 27001 – International standard for information security designed to ensure the selection of adequate and proportionate security controls. Ipsos UK was the first research company in the UK to be awarded this in August 2008.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos UK Terms and Conditions.



Appendix: Trending vs Global Commons research



How worried, if at all, are you about the following? (Extremely / very worried).

Q4. How worried, if at all, are you about the following?

	The state of	nature today		The state in which we wil		
	2021	2023		2021	2023	
G20*	58%	59%		61%	62%	
Argentina	68%	65%		68%	71%	
Australia	46%	46%		51%	53%	
Brazil	74%	78%		77%	80%	
Canada	52%	52%		55%	56%	
China	46%	53%		47%	57%	
France	57%	66%		58%	69%	
United Kingdom	46%	53%		47%	56%	
Germany	57%	56%		59%	60%	
India	70%	69%		70%	63%	
Indonesia	68%	67%		70%	68%	
Italy	69%	53%		72%	58%	
Japan	44%	48%		47%	52%	
Saudi Arabia	26%	30%		31%	28%	
South Korea	64%	56%	_	66%	64%	
Mexico	77%	77%		81%	81%	
South Africa	66%	74%		69%	76%	
Turkey	79%	72%		83%	77%	
United States	45%	43%		49%	48%	
Sweden	40%	39%		45%	46%	





Because of human activities, the Earth is close to environmental 'tipping points' where climate or nature, such as rainforests or glaciers, may change suddenly or be more difficult to stabilise in the future.

(Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	2021	2023	
G20*	73%	69%	
Argentina	77%	76%	
Australia	66%	64%	
Brazil	83%	78%	
Canada	66%	64%	
China	74%	70%	V
France	72%	64%	
United Kingdom	65%	67%	
Germany	67%	66%	
India	77%	73%	
Indonesia	86%	85%	
Italy	79%	62%	
Japan	63%	54%	
Saudi Arabia	72%	56%	
South Korea	73%	66%	
Mexico	78%	82%	
South Africa	76%	77%	
Turkey	85%	71%	
United States	60%	61%	
Sweden	63%	60%	



Nature can meet the needs of humans right now. (Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	2021	2023	
G20*	50%	49%	
Argentina	64%	64%	
Australia	42%	42%	
Brazil	57%	62%	
Canada	47%	43%	
China	47%	50%	
France	37%	39%	
United Kingdom	35%	39%	
Germany	38%	39%	
India	61%	67%	
Indonesia	76%	74%	
Italy	40%	26%	
Japan	33%	27%	
Saudi Arabia	65%	57%	
South Korea	35%	31%	
Mexico	55%	60%	
South Africa	56%	61%	
Turkey	62%	58%	
United States	53%	47%	
Sweden	41%	43%	





Nature is already too damaged to continue meeting humans' needs in the long-term. (Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	2021	2023	
G20*	59%	59%	
Argentina	66%	68%	
Australia	43%	45%	
Brazil	75%	72%	
Canada	35%	42%	
China	57%	59%	
France	59%	57%	
United Kingdom	42%	46%	
Germany	55%	55%	
India	75%	77%	
Indonesia	64%	72%	
Italy	60%	52%	
Japan	72%	65%	
Saudi Arabia	66%	52%	
South Korea	62%	53%	
Mexico	75%	78%	
South Africa	47%	54%	
Turkey	81%	76%	
United States	34%	44%	
Sweden	31%	36%	

