Global Commons Survey 2024

India

G20+ Global Report: planetary stewardship

Commissioned by Earth4All and the Global Commons Alliance

Partners: The Policy Institute Kings College, ISWE, Wellbeing Economy Alliance




Planetary stewardship




How worried, if at all, are you about the following? (Extremely / very / somewhat worried).

Q4. How worried, if at all, are you about the following?

The state of nature today



The state in which we will leave nature for future generations






	Total	Ge	nder	Hou	sehold inco	ome			Age		
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
The state of nature today	90%	90%	91%	88%	90%	93% AD	90%	91%	92%	88%	89%
The state in which we will leave nature for future generations	87% B	85%	90% AB	85%	90% D	87%	89%	86%	86%	91%	86%

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).



Because of human activities, the Earth is close to environmental 'tipping points' where climate or nature, such as rainforests or glaciers, may change suddenly or be more difficult to stabilise in the future.


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





New technologies can solve environmental problems without individuals having to make big changes in their lives.


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





Many of the claims about environmental threats are exaggerated.


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





Human health and wellbeing are closely connected to the health and wellbeing of nature.


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





Nature can meet the needs of humans right now.


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





Nature is already too damaged to continue meeting humans' needs in the long-term.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




Base: 22,000 across 22 countries, 18 - 55-75, online interviews, 5th March – 8th April 2024. Argentina (1,000), Australia (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), Canada (1,000), Canada (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), South Africa (1,000), South Korea (1,000), South Korea (1,000), United Kingdom (1,000), United States (1,000), United States).



Addressing climate change and environmental damage can bring many benefits to people in [COUNTRY].


Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





India's government is doing enough to tackle climate change and environmental damage.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




Base: 21,000 across 21 countries, 18 - 55-75, online interviews, 5th March – 8th April 2024. Argentina (1,000), Australia (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Brazil (1,000), France (1,000), France (1,000), India (1,000), India



The costs of the damages due to environmental pollution are much higher than the costs of the investments needed for a green transition.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?








It should be a criminal offence for leaders of large businesses or senior government officials to approve or permit actions they know are likely to cause damage to nature and climate that is widespread, long term or cannot be reversed.

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?





To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5.

income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups

sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household


	Tatal	Ge	nder	Hou	sehold inc	ome			Age		
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
It should be a criminal offence for leaders of large businesses or senior government officials to approve or permit actions they know are likely to	79%	78%	80%	72%	80%	87%	79%	82%	78%	77%	76%
cause damage to nature and climate that is widespread, long term or cannot be reversed	D				D	ADE					
Human health and wellbeing are closely connected to the health and	79%	77%	81%	74%	79%	85%	75%	82%	81%	77%	76%
wellbeing of nature	D					AD		G			
Nature is already too damaged to continue meeting humans' needs in the	77%	75%	78%	73%	78%	82%	76%	81%	80%	68%	73%
long-term	J					AD		AJ	J		
The costs of the damages due to environmental pollution are much higher	76%	74%	77%	72%	75%	83%	76%	81%	75%	72%	70%
than the costs of the investments needed for a green transition	D					ADE		AJK			
Because of human activities, the Earth is close to environmental 'tipping points' where climate or nature, such as rainforests or glaciers, may change suddenly or be more difficult to stabilise in the future	73%	70%	76%	67%	73%	82%	67%	76%	75%	75%	74%
	BDG		AB			ADE		G			



To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (Strongly / tend to agree).


Q5.

		Ge	nder	Hou	sehold ind	ome	Age				
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Addressing climate change and environmental damage can bring many benefits to people in India	73% DJ	73%	73%	66%	79% AD	78% AD	69%	79% AGJ	80% AGJ	61%	70%
Nature can meet the needs of humans right now	67% D	65%	68%	62%	68%	73% AD	63%	72% AGJ	70%	62%	62%
India's government is doing enough to tackle climate change and environmental damage	61% GJ	59%	62%	57%	61%	66% AD	54%	66% AGJ	66% GJ	53%	61%
New technologies can solve environmental problems without individuals having to make big changes in their lives		57%	57%	52%	59%	64% AD	50%	64% AGJK	65% AGJK	53%	48%
Many of the claims about environmental threats are exaggerated	54% K	55%	53%	52%	55%	59%	50%	63% AGJK	59% JK	47%	41%





Thinking about climate change and protecting nature, how quickly do you think the world needs to take major action to reduce carbon emissions from electricity, transport, food, industry, and buildings?



Base: 22,000 across 22 countries, 18 - 55-75, online interviews, 5th March - 8th April 2024. Argentina (1,000), Australia (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), India (1,000), China (1,000), Italy (1,000), Japan (1,000), Kenya (1,000), Mexico (1,000), Saudi Arabia (1,000), South Korea (1,000), Sweden (1,000), United Kingdom (1,000), United States (1,000), United States (1,000), Weize (1,000), Weize (1,000), South Korea (1,000), Sweden (1,000), United Kingdom (1,000), United States (1,000), United S countries surveyed (Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, France, Germany, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Mexico, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, South Korea, Turkey, United Kingdom, United States).



© Ipsos | Earth4AII and the Global Commons Alliance | Argentina Deck | September 2024

Thinking about climate change and protecting nature, how quickly do you think the world needs to take major action to reduce carbon emissions from electricity, transport, food, industry, and buildings?

Q6.

	Total	Ge	nder	Но	usehold inco	me			Age		
	(A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Act immediately, within the next decade	68% DG	67%	70%	61%	68% D	78% ADE	62%	70%	73% G	66%	68%
Act within the next 20 to 30 years	22% F	23%	21%	26% AF	23%	17%	27%	23%	19%	19%	23%
Act, but we have more time than 30 years	5%	5%	4%	5%	5%	4%	5%	3%	5%	7%	2%
Never – no action required	2%	1%	2%	3% AE	1%		1%	1%	1%	2%	4% AH
Don't know	3% F	4%	3%	5% F	3%	1%	4%	3%	2%	6% I	3%

Base: India, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024. For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.



In your opinion, which of the following should be held most responsible for reversing damage to environment (e.g. climate, water and air pollution, forests)? Please select up to three.

Q7.

	Tetal	Ge	nder	Но	ousehold inco	ome			Age		
	Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Business and industry	44% CH	51% AC	37%	43%	48%	41%	50% H	39%	44%	41%	49%
National governments of developing countries	38%	38%	39%	35%	46% ADF	37%	40%	40%	37%	37%	36%
National governments of wealthy countries	37% D	39%	35%	31%	39% D	44% AD	34%	33%	43% AGH	38%	38%
Environmental groups	36%	35%	37%	36%	33%	40%	33%	38%	42% AGK	35%	29%
International organisations (e.g. the UN or the World Bank)	35% D	32%	37%	29%	35%	42% AD	31%	40% AG	35%	32%	36%
The global super rich	30%	32%	27%	29%	30%	29%	28%	35% A	27%	30%	28%
High-income and middle-income earners in India	24%	22%	26%	25%	24%	25%	24%	27%	25%	25%	19%
Not applicable – no action required	2%	2%	1%	3% AE	1%		1%	2%	2%	1%	2%
Don't know	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	5%	6%

Base: India, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024. For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).




Here is a list of qualities that children can be encouraged to learn at home. Which, if any, do you consider to be especially important?

Q1.

	Total	Gender		Но	usehold inco	me	Age				
	Total (A)	Male	Female	Low	Middle	High	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-65
		(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)
Good manners	69%	66%	72%	68%	69%	70%	68%	69%	69%	67%	72%
Hard work	58%	57%	58%	58%	56%	60%	53%	53%	63% GH	61%	60%
E - 18	51%	48%	53%	50%	52%	51%	44%	50%	52%	61%	48%
Feeling of responsibility	G									AGHK	
Respect for animals, nature, and the environment	46% I	45%	46%	42%	48%	47%	50% IJ	50% IJ	40%	39%	47%
Tolerance	36% I	36%	35%	35%	35%	38%	37%	35%	30%	38%	42%
Independence	35% D	33%	38%	29%	36%	42% AD	31%	39%	37%	31%	36%
Religious faith	30%	31%	30%	27%	33%	30%	32%	29%	30%	36% K	24%
Obedience	30%	30%	30%	29%	32%	27%	26%	30%	29%	34%	33%
Determination, perseverance	24% D	25%	24%	20%	26%	29% D	24%	22%	26%	22%	30%
Not being selfish (unselfishness)	24%	23%	25%	24%	23%	24%	26%	20%	23%	31% AH	19%
Thrift, saving money and things	23%	22%	24%	22%	24%	22%	19%	24%	29% AG	22%	19%
Imagination	20% J	21%	19%	18%	23%	19%	23% J	25% AJ	20% J	9%	19% J
None of these	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	
Don't know	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	

Base: India, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024. For subgroup base sizes, please see Methodology.





Values and demographics





To what extent are you optimistic or pessimistic about each of the following... (Very / somewhat optimistic).

Q2. To what extent are you optimistic or pessimistic about each of the following...

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups

sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household

income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).


	Total	Gei	nder	Hou	sehold inco	ome	Age				
	(A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
Your future	80%	79%	81%	74%	82%	86%	78%	87%	84%	73%	73%
four future	DJK				D	AD		AGJK	JK		
	76%	76%	77%	72%	77%	82%	71%	81%	81%	75%	70%
The future of your country	DG					AD		AGK	GK		
The future of the world	73%	72%	73%	69%	72%	77%	69%	77%	80%	69%	60%
	K					AD		AGK	AGJK		



Please now give us your views on the following issues. We will show you two statements – please tell us which of these statements is closer to your beliefs.

Q3. 1 means you agree completely with the statement on the left; 7 means you agree completely with the statement on the right; and if your views fall somewhere in between, you can choose any number in between.

■ Net: 1-2 ■ Net: 3-5 ■ Net: 6-7



Base: India, 1,000 adults aged 18 to 65, interviewed online in March and April 2024.



How satisfied are you with the financial situation of your household? How exposed, if at all, are you personally to environmental and climate related risks, and threats?

QD4. How satisfied are you with the financial situation of your household?

QD5. How exposed, if at all, are you personally to environmental and climate related risks, and threats?

Green letters show that column % is significantly higher than the column indicated by the letter. Subgroups

sig tested against total and comparable others (i.e. male vs female; low vs middle vs high household

income; 18-24 vs 25-34 vs 35-44 vs 45-54 vs 55-65).

			Ge	nder	Hou	sehold inco	me			Age		
		Total (A)	Male (B)	Female (C)	Low (D)	Middle (E)	High (F)	18-24 (G)	25-34 (H)	35-44 (I)	45-54 (J)	55-65 (K)
		72%	74%	71%	63%	73%	85%	64%	82%	80%	64%	65%
How satisfied are you	NET: Very/fairly satisfied	DGJ				D	ADE		AGJK	AGJK		
with the financial situation of your household?	NET: Very/fairly dissatisfied	11%	11%	11%	17%	8%	6%	14%	7%	7%	16%	17%
		EFHI			AEF			Н			AHI	HI
How exposed, if at all, are you personally to environmental and climate related risks, and threats?	NET: Very/somewhat exposed	79%	76%	81%	78%	78%	82%	74%	85%	82%	74%	73%
		GN							AGJK	G		
	NET: Not very/not at all exposed	18%	21%	15%	19%	17%	17%	24%	12%	15%	20%	20%
		СН	AC					AHI			Н	



Methodology



Objectives and methodology.


- Commissioned by Earth4All and the Global Commons Alliance, in partnership with The Policy Institute Kings College, Wellbeing Alliance, ISWE, and Wellbeing Economy Alliance, Ipsos conducted research to understand attitudes to societal transformations, political / economic systems and planetary stewardship. Questions focused on: values and worldviews, attitudes towards nature and planetary stewardship, attitudes towards national and global political and economic systems, levels of support for Earth4All policy recommendations. This report focuses on attitudes towards national and global political and economic systems, and levels of support for Earth4All policy proposals.
- Ipsos surveyed 22,000 participants aged 18 to 55-75 in total across 22 countries. This included 18 G20 countries (Argentina (1,000), Australia (1,000), Brazil (1,000), Canada (1,000), China (1,000), France (1,000), Germany (1,000), India (1,000), Indonesia (1,000), Italy (1,000), Japan (1,000), Mexico (1,000), Saudi Arabia (1,000), South Africa (1,000), South Korea (1,000), Turkey (1,000), United Kingdom (1,000), United States (1,000)), plus four counties outside the G20 (Austria (1,000), Denmark (1,000), Kenya (1,000) and Sweden (1,000)). Not all questions were asked in China. All interviews were conducted online and took place between 5th March and 8th April 2024.
- Quota sampling was used, and in each country data are weighted to be representative of the national population on age, gender, region and working status. Where results do not sum to 100, this may be due to rounding, multiple responses, or the exclusion of 'don't know' categories.
- This report presents a 'G20 country average' and compares individual countries against this. Overall 'G20 country average' percentage is an arithmetic average of national results across the 18 G20 countries surveyed (or 17 G20 countries in the case of questions that were not asked in China), where the results from each country have the same weight and are not pro-rata to the true population proportions for this audience.
- This report presents the findings from India, comparing responses with the other nations surveyed. This study also compares responses from different demographic groups in India. In India, 1,000 participants aged 18-65 were interviewed in English between 5th March and 8th April 2024.





Methodology: study coverage.


22 countries were included in the study, a total of **22,000 participants** from age 18 to 55-75.

Some questions that were asked were also run in the Global Commons Survey in 2021. Where this is the case, trend data has been shown for those countries included in both the 2021 and 2024 studies.











Sample overview by country.

	Country	Abbreviation	Sample Size	Ages covered	Language
<u> </u>	United Kingdom	UK	1000	18-75	English
	Italy	IT	1000	18-65	Italian
G	Turkey	TR	1000	18-60	Turkish
	United States	us	1000	18-75	English
•	Argentina	AR	1000	18-55	Spanish
	Australia	AU	1000	18-65	English
	Brazil	BR	1000	18-55	Portuguese
	China	CN	1000	18-55	Chinese (Simplified)
	India	IN	1000	18-65	English
	Indonesia	ID	1000	21-65	Bahasa Indonesia
	Japan	JP	1000	18-65	Japanese
()	South Korea	sĸ	1000	18-55	Korean
	Mexico	MX	1000	18-55	Spanish
227.4	Saudi Arabia	SA	1000	18-65	Arabic
	South Africa	ZA	1000	18-65	English
(*)	Canada	CA	1000	18-65	English, French
	France	FR	1000	18-65	French
	Germany	DE	1000	18-65	German
	Austria	AT	1000	18-75	German
	Denmark	DK	1000	18-75	Danish
	Kenya	KE	1000	18-65	Swahili
	Sweden	sw	1000	18-65	Swedish



Methodology: demographics/subgroups bases.

	Unweighted 1000 / Weighted 1000										
	Gender										
Male	507 / 511										
Iviaic	51% / 51%										
Female	493 / 489										
Female	49% / 49%										
Emplo Emplo	yment Status										
Working 648 / 614											
vvorking	65% / 61%										
Nich and the	352 / 386										
Not working	35% / 39%										
E	ducation										
	204 / 190										
Below degree	20% / 19%										
	796 / 791										
Degree or above	80% / 79%										
House	old Income										
	349 / 361										
Low (0-50,000 Rs)	35% / 36%										
14: LH (=0.004.440.000)	335 / 329										
Middle (50,001-149,000)	34% / 33%										
	286 / 278										
High (149,001+)	29% / 28%										
_ Childrer	in Household										
	687 / 672										
Yes	69% / 67%										
	309 / 325										
No	31% / 33%										
	01/0/ 00/0										

	Unweighted 1000 / Weighted 1000
	Age
18-24	234 / 226
10-24	23% / 23%
25-34	281 / 268
25-34	28% / 27%
25.44	236 / 222
35-44	24% / 22%
4F F 4	149 / 157
45-54	15% / 16%
EE CA	100 / 127
55-64	10% / 13%
	Region
Central Zone	47 / 78
Ceritiai Zorie	5% / 8%
Eastern Zone	219 / 216
Eastern Zone	22% / 22%
North-Eastern Zone	32 / 37
North-Eastern Zone	3% / 4%
North our Zon	299 / 287
Northern Zone	30% / 29%
O 4h 7	239 / 229
Southern Zone	24% / 23%
M	164 / 152
Western Zone	16% / 15%



Ipsos Standards & Accreditations

Ipsos's standards & accreditations provide our clients with the peace of mind that they can always depend on us to deliver reliable, sustainable findings. Moreover, our focus on quality and continuous improvement means we have embedded a 'right first time' approach throughout our organisation.



ISO 20252 – is the international specific standard for market, opinion and social research, including insights and data analytics. Ipsos in the UK was the first company in the world to gain this accreditation.



The UK General Data Protection Regulation (UK GDPR) & the UK Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA) – Ipsos UK is required to comply with the UK General Data Protection Regulation and the UK Data Protection Act; it covers the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy.



MRS Company Partnership – By being an MRS Company Partner, Ipsos UK endorse and support the core MRS brand values of professionalism, research excellence and business effectiveness, and commit to comply with the MRS Code of Conduct throughout the organisation & we were the first company to sign our organisation up to the requirements & self-regulation of the MRS Code; more than 350 companies have followed our lead.



HMG Cyber Essentials – A government backed and key deliverable of the UK's National Cyber Security Programme. Ipsos UK was assessment validated for certification in 2016. Cyber Essentials defines a set of controls which, when properly implemented, provide organisations with basic protection from the most prevalent forms of threat coming from the internet.



ISO 9001 – International general company standard with a focus on continual improvement through quality management systems. In 1994 we became one of the early adopters of the ISO 9001 business standard.



Fair Data – Ipsos UK is signed up as a 'Fair Data' Company by agreeing to adhere to twelve core principles. The principles support and complement other standards such as ISOs, and the requirements of Data Protection legislation.



ISO 27001 – International standard for information security designed to ensure the selection of adequate and proportionate security controls. Ipsos UK was the first research company in the UK to be awarded this in August 2008.

This work was carried out in accordance with the requirements of the international quality standard for market research, ISO 20252 and with the Ipsos UK Terms and Conditions.



Appendix: Trending vs Global Commons research



How worried, if at all, are you about the following? (Extremely / very worried).

Q4. How worried, if at all, are you about the following?

	The state of	nature today		The state in which we wil		
	2021	2023		2021	2023	
G20*	58%	59%		61%	62%	
Argentina	68%	65%		68%	71%	
Australia	46%	46%		51%	53%	
Brazil	74%	78%		77%	80%	
Canada	52%	52%		55%	56%	
China	46%	53%		47%	57%	
France	57%	66%		58%	69%	
United Kingdom	46%	53%		47%	56%	
Germany	57%	56%		59%	60%	
India	70%	69%		70%	63%	
Indonesia	68%	67%		70%	68%	
Italy	69%	53%	V	72%	58%	
Japan	44%	48%		47%	52%	
Saudi Arabia	26%	30%		31%	28%	
South Korea	64%	56%	_	66%	64%	
Mexico	77%	77%		81%	81%	
South Africa	66%	74%		69%	76%	
Turkey	79%	72%		83%	77%	
United States	45%	43%		49%	48%	
Sweden	40%	39%		45%	46%	





Because of human activities, the Earth is close to environmental 'tipping points' where climate or nature, such as rainforests or glaciers, may change suddenly or be more difficult to stabilise in the future.

(Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	2021	2023	
G20*	73%	69%	
Argentina	77%	76%	
Australia	66%	64%	
Brazil	83%	78%	
Canada	66%	64%	
China	74%	70%	
France	72%	64%	
United Kingdom	65%	67%	
Germany	67%	66%	
India	77%	73%	
Indonesia	86%	85%	
Italy	79%	62%	
Japan	63%	54%	
Saudi Arabia	72%	56%	
South Korea	73%	66%	
Mexico	78%	82%	
South Africa	76%	77%	
Turkey	85%	71%	
United States	60%	61%	
Sweden	63%	60%	



Nature can meet the needs of humans right now. (Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	•••	••••	
	2021	2023	
G20*	50%	49%	
Argentina	64%	64%	
Australia	42%	42%	
Brazil	57%	62%	
Canada	47%	43%	
China	47%	50%	
France	37%	39%	
United Kingdom	35%	39%	
Germany	38%	39%	
India	61%	67%	
Indonesia	76%	74%	
Italy	40%	26%	
Japan	33%	27%	
Saudi Arabia	65%	57%	
South Korea	35%	31%	
Mexico	55%	60%	
South Africa	56%	61%	
Turkey	62%	58%	
United States	53%	47%	
Sweden	41%	43%	V





Nature is already too damaged to continue meeting humans' needs in the long-term. (Strongly / tend to agree).

Q5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	2021	2023	
G20*	59%	59%	
Argentina	66%	68%	
Australia	43%	45%	
Brazil	75%	72%	
Canada	35%	42%	
China	57%	59%	
France	59%	57%	
United Kingdom	42%	46%	
Germany	55%	55%	
India	75%	77%	
Indonesia	64%	72%	
Italy	60%	52%	
Japan	72%	65%	
Saudi Arabia	66%	52%	
South Korea	62%	53%	
Mexico	75%	78%	
South Africa	47%	54%	
Turkey	81%	76%	
United States	34%	44%	
Sweden	31%	36%	

